

The Key Security Technologies in Lustre

sbuisson@whamcloud.com

emoly@whamcloud.com

Oct. 2020



Challenges and Motivations



- More customers using Lustre as permanent data repository and not only for scratch
- Organizations forced to comply with new standards, rules, methods, etc.
- High Performance file system inserted into the "Enterprise" workflow requires sophisticated security configuration
- New paradigms: technologies designed and developed with enhanced security in mind

Different Security Requirements

رچ Whamcloud

User/node authentication

- Only authenticated users have access
- Only authenticated nodes are part of Lustre

Access control

- DAC (Discretionary Access Control)
- MAC (Mandatory Access Control)

Multi-tenancy

- Provides isolated namespaces from a single file system
- Limited namespace exposed to clients

Encryption

- Wire Encryption (Network)
- Data Encryption (Logical and Physical)



What We Have with EXAScaler



- User/node authentication
 - Kerberos authentication
 - Shared-Secret Key (SSK) authentication

Access control

- Discretionary Access Control
- Targeted & MLS policies on client side
- SELinux status checking
- Multi-tenancy
 - Lustre client in container or VM, subdir mount
- Encryption
 - On the wire with Kerberos
 - On the wire with SSK
 - Directly at the Lustre client level
- Audit
 - Changelogs-based Lustre Audit with specific Changelogs consumer

Multi-Tenancy



Rough Idea and Concept

Implementation

- Method A
- Method B
- Method C



Multi-tenancy: Rough Idea





whamcloud.com

Multi-Tenancy: Concept





Isolation enables Multi-tenancy:

- different populations of users on the same file systems
- isolation of these different populations of users
- Available from Lustre 2.10 / EXAScaler 4

Multi-tenancy: How to Implement



Narrows down to

- ability to properly identify the client nodes used by a tenant
- trust those identities



Multi-tenancy: Method A



Users cannot be root

- clients's NIDs can be trusted.
- multi-tenancy guaranteed by subdirectory mount and nodemap
- groups of clients assigned to each tenant can change over time oneeds to update tenants definitions in nodemaps.

Multi-tenancy: Method B



If Root is Possible on Clients

are Lustre clients running inside VMs or containers?
 oadvantage: dynamically assign NIDs to clients used by tenants

odrawback: malicious user may use root privileges to change Lustre client NIDs

make use of strong authentication

Kerberos - if already in place at customer site

•Shared-Secret Key is Lustre-specific alternative, much easier to implement

• how does it work?

omaliciously modified client NID will not match client's key

-installed in VM or container by sec admin

Lustre servers will refuse connection

Multi-tenancy: Method B





Multi-tenancy: Method C



When strong authentication is not an option...

- not implemented on-site for user authentication
 - o too difficult to start using Kerberos authentication with Lustre
- not adapted to application workflows
 - o too complex to deploy credentials for VMs or Containers

Make use of Lustre routers

- on the path between Lustre clients and servers
- inaccessible to users
- one LNet network per tenant
- VLANs or IB partitions if shared client network

Multi-tenancy: Method C – as implemented at Uppsala U.



Multi-tenancy: Method C – as implemented at Uppsala U.



Idea to achieve multi-tenancy: LNet routers

• 1 tenant == 1 LNet network

o1 LNet == 1 nodemap entry

o1 LNet == 1 routing rule to reach servers from clients

But users can be root inside VMs or containers

• to prevent tenant impersonation ("NID spoofing"):

o tenant A == VLAN A on client's host

o router A == Tag A on network interface

Multi-tenancy: Performance Impact



No performance penalty incurred by isolation itself

- tenancy arbitration done at client mount time
- and for every metadata access if UID/GID mapping is in use
 but no impact thanks to nodemap caching on server side

Performance penalty may come from method used to trust clients NIDs

- Kerberos
- Shared-Secret Key
- LNet routers

Multi-tenancy



- Taking Lustre Isolation a step further
 - ability to isolate users from the same population
 - oprevent users from accessing others' data
 - oflexibly adjust access capabilities
 - obut still share the same file system root

Add SELinux MLS to enforce data confidentiality

Multi-tenancy: asymmetrical route detection



Asymmetrical route



 could be the clue of hostile clients injecting data to the servers

Purpose is to drop asymmetrical route messages

Available with Lustre 2.12.1 / EXAScaler 5

Encryption



On the wireData at REST

Encryption – On the Wire



Objective

protect data transfers between nodes
 'Man-in-the-middle' attacks

Encryption over the network with Kerberos krb5p or SSK skpi flavors

- for communications between Lustre clients and servers
- data encrypted on emitter's side before sending
- data decrypted on recipient's side upon receival

Performance impact

example with Kerberos krb5p:
 bandwidth: 80% loss
 metadata: 40% loss

Available from EXAScaler 3 (Krb) / EXAScaler 4 (SSK)



whamcloud.com

Encryption – Data at REST

Encryption at disk level with secured disks

- protect against storage theft
- encryption key is managed thanks to Cryptsoft solutions

Encryption on top of Lustre: gocryptfs

- provide privacy at user level
- encryption/decryption happens on client nodes
- bandwidth: 70-80% loss
- Available from EXAScaler 4

Encryption at Lustre client level – based on fscrypt API

- protect against storage theft and network snooping
- data is encrypted before being sent to server and decrypted upon receival from servers
- bandwidth: ~30% loss in write, ~20% loss in read
- Available in 2.14 for content encryption, 2.15/2.16 for name encryption





Changelog

► laudit

whamcloud.com

Objective

• provide records of all Lustre access

Use Lustre changelogs

- log activity on MDTs
- record file system namespace & metadata events
 owith UID:GID and NID info
- record even failed access attempts
- limit duplicate open () and close () events
- restrict nodes from which activity is recorded

Available from Lustre 2.11 / EXAScaler 4









Changelogs space consumption evaluation

	# changelog entries	changelog size	Performance impact
After 10 000 files created	30000	3755824	-15%
After 10 000 files read	50000	6096448	-15%
After 10 000 files removed	60000	7461440	-5%

• Rule of thumb: provision 125 B / entry on MDT

Lustre Audit HOWTO



All Changelog record types must be enabled, to be able to record events such as OPEN, ATIME, GETXATTR and DENIED OPEN

- Enable all changelog entry types:
- # lctl set_param mdd.<fsname>-*.changelog_mask=ALL
- Then, just register a Changelogs user:
- # lctl --device <fsname>-<MDT number> changelog register
- Control which Lustre client nodes can trigger the recording of file system access events to the Changelogs
- # lctl nodemap_modify --name <nodmap_name> \
 - --property audit mode --value=<0,1>

Objective

- provide audit facility
- reserved to privileged user (root)

Exploit Lustre changelogs

- create dedicated Changelogs consumer

 laudit: consume Changelogs and store audit info into local directory (flat files)
 - data organized for easy tracking of UID:GID and FID activities
- create tool to query audit logs
 - olaudit-report: search flat files, query options

Available from EXAScaler 4







Lustre Audit Facility HOWTO



From a special Lustre client, process to consume Changelog entries in background

- # laudit -d laudit.conf
 - fetches Changelog entries
 - ofree space on Lustre metadata target
 - stores relevant audit logs
 - oflat files, no complicated database schema

Query audit logs

• file history

laudit-report -f /lustre/fileA -a `2019.01.01' -b `2019.03.31' laudit.conf

- user history
- # laudit-report -u 500:500 -a '2019.01.31 08:00' -b '2019.01.31 09:00' laudit.conf



Thank You!

sbuisson@whamcloud.com
emoly@whamcloud.com

