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NSCC-Wuxi and the Sunway Machine Family

Sunway-I:
- CMA service, 1998
- commercial chip
- 0.384 Tflops
- 48th of TOP500

Sunway BlueLight:
- NSCC-Jinan, 2011
- 16-core processor
- 1 Pflops
- 14th of TOP500

Sunway TaihuLight:
- NSCC-Wuxi, 2016
- 260-core processor
- 125 Pflops
- 1st of TOP500

PCC project is collaborated by NSCC-Wuxi and DDN
Sunway TaihuLight in NSCC-Wuxi:
a 10M-Core System

163,840 processes
65 threads

racks chips core-groups cores total number of cores

40 \times 1,024 \times 4 \times 65 = 10,649,600
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I/O Architecture of Sunway TaihuLight

Cache on I/O forwarding nodes (Lustre clients) should be helpful
Why SSD cache on Lustre client?

- Less overhead visible for applications
  - No network latency
  - No LDLM lock and other Lustre overhead
- Easier to be optimized for the best performance
  - I/O stack is much simpler
  - No interference I/Os from other clients
- Relatively easier than server side implementations
  - Write support for SSD cache on server side is very difficult
  - Problems for write cache on server side:
    - Visibility when failover happens
    - Consistency when corruption happens
- Less requirement on hardware
  - Any kind of SSD can be used as the cache device
- Reduces the pressure of OSTs
  - Small or random I/Os are regularized to big sequential I/Os
  - Temporary files do not need to be flushed to OSTs
Design of PCC(1)

- **PCC provides a group of local caches**
  - Each client has its own local cache based on SSD
  - No global namespace is provided by PCC
  - Data on the local cache cannot be accessed by other clients directly
  - Local file system is used to manage the data on local caches
  - Cached I/O is directed to local file system while normal I/O is directed to OSTs

- **PCC uses HSM for data synchronization**
  - PCC uses HSM copytool restore file from local caches to Lustre OSTs
  - Remote access from another Lustre client will trigger the data synchronization
  - Each PCC has a copytool instance running with unique archive number
  - If a client with PCC goes offline, the cached data becomes inaccessible for other clients temporarily
    - But this is fine, since it is "local" cache
Design of LCOC (2)

- **When file is being created on PCC**
  - A normal file is created on MDT
  - An empty mirror file is created on local cache
  - The HSM status of the Lustre file will be set to archived and released
  - The archive number will be set to the proper value

- **When file is being prefetched to PCC**
  - An mirror file is copied to local cache
  - The HSM status of the Lustre file will be set to archived and released
  - The archive number will be set to the proper value

- **When file is being accessed from PCC**
  - Data will be read directly from local cache
  - Metadata will be read from MDT, except file size
  - File size will be got from local cache
Architecture of PCC
Data management of PCC

- Policy engine manages the data movement from local caches to OSTs
  - Policy engine will prefetch data if necessary
  - Possible conditions to prefetch a file:
    - High access heat is being detected on that file
    - The file is going to be accessed soon (e.g. job is starting)
    - Explicit hint is being given by applications/users (e.g. lfs ladvise)
  - Policy engine will do HSM restore to flush data according to the policies defined
  - Possible conditions to shrink a file from the cache:
    - Cache is becoming full
    - The file size is growing too big to be cached
    - Low access heat is detected on the file in the cache
    - The file won’t be accessed any more for some time (e.g. job is stopping)
    - Explicit hint is being given by applications/users (e.g. lfs ladvise)
Limitations

- Not all applications are able to be accelerated by PCC
  - Locality requirements of application I/Os
    - Applications shall not access the cached file through multiple clients
    - But no inconsistency will happen even the application writes the cached file on a remote client
  - Capacity of each local cache is limited
    - Size of a cached file is limited to the available space of the local cache
    - The total cached data on a single client is limited
- Files can not be partly cached
  - Partial cache can be implemented if HSM supports partial archive/restore
- The total PCC clients are limited to 32 (LU-10114)
  - Only 32 different archive numbers are supported by Lustre
  - This upper limitation can be raised in the future
Extension: Read-only replications

- Read-only replications are cached on multiple local caches
  - The replications on PCC are identical to the data on OSTs
  - A new global flag “pcc_cached” is used to indicate whether any local replication exists for a file
  - Replications of files without “pcc_cached” flag will be cleared

- I/O on client with PCC replication:
  - Read:
    - The file data comes from cache if “pcc Cached” is set
    - The file data comes from OSTs if “pcc Cached” is cleared
  - Write:
    - Modification is applied directly to data on OSTs
    - The “pcc_cached” flag is cleared

- I/O on client without PCC replication:
  - Read:
    - Data are read from OSTs directly
  - Write:
    - The “pcc_cached” flag is cleared
I/O Pattern Detector and Job Scheduler for PCC

- **I/O pattern detector detects suitable applications for PCC**
  - Jobstat ID is used to distinguish I/O from different jobs
  - The type, timestamp, size, offset, FID, job ID of I/Os are recorded on each client and sent to global detector
  - The global detector finds FIDs with cross-client I/O and send back to I/O monitors on all clients
  - A description about the I/O patterns on each job is generated by the detector

- **PCC-ware scheduler**
  - The scheduler considers PCC usage as part of the constraint when scheduling jobs
    - Concurrent jobs shall not cause contention or exhaustion of PCC
  - The scheduler gives hints for PCC cache management
    - Which files should be prefetched to cache
    - Whether a newly created file should be cached or not
    - Which client should cache the file
    - When should a file be swapped out of the cache
I/O Pattern Detector and Job Scheduler for PCC

# General information of estimated cache size needed
GENERAL: NEED 2GB ON rank0, 2GB ON rank 1

# When job starts, file a should be fetched to rank 0, need 2GB cache
RULE 1: IF job_starts, FETCH a ON rank0, SIZE 2GB;

# When file b is generated, file a should be swapped out
RULE 2: IF b_exists, SHRINK a, SIZE 2GB;

# When file c is generated on rank 1, it should be cached
RULE 3: CACHE c ON rank 1, SIZE 2G;

# If file d is generated, RULE 3 should be disabled
RULE 4: IF d_exists, DISABLE 3;

# If file e is generated, RULE 3 should be enabled
RULE 5: IF e_exists, ENABLE 3;

# When job finishes, file a and c should be swapped out
RULE 6: IF job_ends, SHRINK a, SIZE 2GB;
RULE 7: IF job_ends, SHRINK c, SIZE 2GB;
Benchmark results: sequential I/O

- PCC uses Ext4 (Samsung SSD 850 EVO 500GB) as local cache
- Lustre OST is based on a single SSD (Intel 535 Series)
- Network is Gigabit Ethernet
- Benchmark: use dd command to write/read 32GB data with different I/O sizes
- Run the same command on different levels of the storage

**Write Performance**
- Overhead of PCC is minimum
- Speedup of PCC is obvious (x4)
- Latency of network is significant

**Read Performance**
- Ext4 of PCC
- PCC
- Lustre
- OST ldiskfs
Summary

- We designed and implemented a novel persistent client side cache (PCC) for Sunway TaihuLight
- Small scale benchmarks shows that PCC is able to accelerate I/Os
- Large scale benchmarks and tests will be carried out in NSCC-Wuxi soon
- Patch has been pushed to the community for review (LU-10092)
- Looking for industry collaborators to test it
Thank you!